

KORC Modeling of Runaway Electron Beam Impact on DIII-D DiMES

Matt Beidler¹, Eric Hollmann², Yueqiang Liu³, Richard Pitts⁴, Dmitry Rudakov², Igor Bykov³, Charlie Lasnier⁵, and Jun Ren⁶

¹Oak Ridge National Laboratory ²University of California – San Diego ³General Atomics ⁴ITER Organization ⁵Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory ⁶University of Tennessee - Knoxville

ORNL is managed by UT-Battelle, LLC for the US Department of Energy

This work is supported by the US DOE under contracts DE-AC05-00OR22725, DE-FC02-04ER54698, and DE-AC02-05CH11231 and by the ITER Organization (TA C18TD38FU). The views and opinions expressed herein do not necessarily reflect those of the European Commission or the ITER Organization.



Disclaimer: This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.



Motivation: Essential to predict wall damage due to post-disruption runaway electrons (REs)

- Need to estimate material lifetime and design RE mitigation systems for ITER and future reactors
- Select between high-Z and low-Z secondary injection that leads to significantly different RE wall impacts
 - Unstable MHD mode develops as flux surfaces scrape off during impact
 - Low-Z: one large strike, high kinetic energy, large wetted area
 - High-Z: many small strikes, low kinetic energy, small wetted area
- Limited diagnostics to interpret RE wall strikes include infrared imaging (IR), HXR spectra, post-mortem analysis
 - Leaves impacting RE energy and pitch angle distributions undetermined



Background: Study enabled by recent modeling advances and ITPA DivSOL/MDC collaboration

- High-fidelity models for volumetric energy deposition (GEANT4) and melt damage (MEMOS-U) used with analytic RE impacts
 - Chen et al., AAPPS contribution MF2-I14 (2021)
- High-fidelity model for RE impacts (KORC) used with a simple model for volumetric energy deposition and melt damage
 - Beidler et al., in preparation (2023)
 - KORC: Kinetic Orbit Runaway electrons
 Code evolves particle-based RE distribution
 - Carbajal et al., Phys. Plasmas (2017)





DIII-D experiment pushes RE beam down to impact DiMES

- Form RE beam with Ar pellet injection
- Inject 200 Torr L H₂ to form a low-resistivity "purged" RE plateau
- Ramp current up to 500 kA
- Elongate and kick down so beam drifts down into lower divertor
- Radially, impact is directly on DiMES
 - Wong et al., J. Nucl.
 Mat. 258-263, 433 (1998)
 - Graphite dome protruding 1cm

CAK RIDGE National Laboratory





Infrared imaging movie of RE beam impact on DiMES





Complex phenomenology of multi-phase final loss not completely modeled

- Two-stage final loss with MHD appearing in the second stage 2
 - Appears to have significant graphite sublimation into the remaining RE beam



1762.75ms

This work uses EFIT reconstruction at

1765ms

1762ms

1760ms

•

dB(n=1) [C] 1750 1755 760 0.6 ¥0.4 ₽0.2 1750 1755 1760 HXR [a.u.] 1750 1755 1760 time [ms]



Modeling uses EFIT reconstruction of DIII-D 191366



DIII-D #191366 @ 1762.75ms

• **EFIT poloidal flux** $\psi_{\text{EFIT}} = -\frac{1}{2\pi}\psi_p$ - $\vec{B}_p = -\vec{\nabla}\psi_{\text{EFIT}} \times \vec{\nabla}\phi = \frac{1}{R}\hat{\phi} \times \vec{\nabla}\psi_{\text{EFIT}}$

CAK RIDGE National Laboratory

- Toroidal field in $-\widehat{\phi}$ direction with current oppositely aligned
 - RE pitch angle $\eta \equiv a\cos(\hat{p} \cdot \hat{b}) < 90^{\circ}$

MARS-F 3D perturbation fields scaled to n = 1 experimental signals on DIII-D final loss events



- s Toroidal array of magnetic pickup coils fit to n = 1 yields 36.4 G
 - Located at plus in lower-right of plots

CAK RIDGE National Laboratory

- Scale n = 1 MARS-F perturbation field to experimental fit and shift toroidal phase
 - Results in 3D $\delta B/B \sim 1\%$
 - Stochastic edge seen in Poincaré plot

Graphite dome in DiMES is modeled as semi-spheroid

、 2

2

Semi-spheroid surface given by

$$r_{\rm D}^2 = (x - x_{\rm D})^2 + (y - y_{\rm D})^2 + \left(\frac{z - z_{\rm D}}{h_{\rm D}/r_{\rm D}}\right)^2$$

- Center at $(R_D, \phi_D, z_D) = (1.485m, 150^\circ, -1.245m)$
- Dimensions $(r_{\rm D}, h_{\rm D}) = (0.025, 0.01) \,\mathrm{m}$





Significant number of REs are deposited onto DiMES dome, but many REs deconfined elsewhere





- Full orbit trajectories without collisions or electric field acceleration
 - No sheath dynamics included



- Initial RE beam is uniform within LCFS, monoenergetic with $\mathcal{K}=10 MeV,$ and monopitch with $\eta=10^\circ$
 - Let unconfined REs exit calculation with equilibrium fields before continuing calculation with perturbation fields

Preliminary analysis exhibits some qualitative agreement



11

Preliminary analysis exhibits some qualitative disagreement

- Modeling yields a shadow behind DiMES that is less prominent in experimental IR
 - IR from long after large MHD event
- Modeling yields RE deconfinement into lower divertor region that isn't observed in experimental IR







More REs strike DiMES at lower pitch angle, scaling with energy is more complicated

- Simulations of varying pitch angle show more REs strike DiMES at lower pitch angles
 - Total deconfined REs independent of pitch angle
- Simulations varying energy show REs strike DiMES (dotted traces) in a two-stage process at lower energy
 - Same trend for total deconfined REs (solid traces)





Calculations show pitch scattered lower energy REs impact shadowed side of DiMES in second stage loss





14

Conclusions Future Work

- Analytic wall capability in KORC can model a graphite dome sample in DiMES
- Preliminary KORC simulations of RE impact on graphite dome in DiMES show qualitative agreement
- Collisionless pitch angle scattering in stochastic magnetic fields leads to delayed RE deconfinement for lower energy REs

- Rerun calculations with a GPU-enabled version of KORC
- Prepare DiMES-impacting RE distributions for use in GEANT4/MEMENTO workflow
- Run tracer particles in time-evolving extended-MHD simulations of RE wall impact



Extra Slides



Toroidal phase of MARS-F 3D mode is adjusted to match n = 1 signal from DIII-D toroidal magnetic coil array

- Maximum n = 1 signal at coil array at toroidal angle $\phi_{max(DIII-D dB)} \sim 280^{\circ}$
- Maximum absolute value of MARS-F computed B_{θ} at coil array at toroidal angle $\phi_{max(MARS \, dB)} \sim 27^{\circ}(207^{\circ})$
- Use phase shift of 253°(73°)







KORC full orbit simulations with MARS-F 3D fields show qualitative agreement with experimental results



Low-Z discharge with large MHD mode leads to deconfined REs with increased energy deposition length scale which could result in deeper PFC damage

