Full-orbit and backward Monte Carlo simulation of runaway electrons

D. del-Castillo-Negrete L. Carbajal G. Zhang D.A. Spong L.R. Baylor S.K. Seal

Oak Ridge National Laboratory

Theory and simulations of Disruptions Workshop

ORNL is managed by UT-Battelle for the US Department of Energy PPPL July 17-19, 2017

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

THE BIG PICTURE

- One of the main long term goals of the ORNL disruptions modeling and simulation team is the development of KORC (Kinetic Orbit Runaway Code): an integrated modeling capability for predictive studies of RE dynamics, generation, avoidance, and mitigation in ITER plasmas.
- KORC is designed as a modular code, with each module adding further physics and/or synthetic diagnostics.
- Particle tracking module: an accurate and efficient RE orbit integrator for general electric and magnetic fields in the presence of radiation damping.
 - KORC-GC: Guiding center orbit model
 - ► KORC-FO: Full orbit (6-dimensional) model.
- Synchrotron radiation synthetic diagnostic module: an accurate, efficient, and realistic diagnostic for radiation emission patterns and spectra taking into consideration full orbit and camera geometry effects.

KORC (Kinetic Orbit Runaway Code)

- Collisions module: a Monte-Carlo based module for collisions with background plasma and impurities, and knock-on collisions.
- Radiative plasma cooling module: a continuum solver for a fluid model of impurity-induced plasma cooling and thermal quench.
- Conductive plasma cooling module: a Lagrangian-Green's function based solver for strongly anisotropic heat conduction in chaotic magnetic field during thermal quench.
- Electric field module: a continuum solver for the selfconsistent evolution of the electric field.

KORC (Kinetic Orbit Runaway Code)

- Bremsstrahlung radiation synthetic diagnostic module: an accurate, efficient, and realistic model of bremsstrahlung radiation taking into consideration full geometric effects.
- MHD activity module: to incorporate MHD self-consistent effects.
- The methodology of the incorporation of the modules is guided by physics needs, and the implementation is guided by numerical methods accuracy and computing performance.
- Each module targets a specific physics problem with the expectation of getting new physics insights into the problem.
- Validation against experiments (DIII-D in particular) is a key element.

RECENT RESULTS

 Full-orbit effects on RE dynamics [reported in last year's workshop].

L. Carbajal, D. del-Castillo-Negrete, D. Spong, S. Seal, and L. Baylor, *Phys. of Plasmas* **24**, 042512 (2017).

 Synchrotron radiation: full-orbit effects and synthetic diagnostic [this talk].
 Carbaial and D. del Cartillo Negreto. Submitted to PBCI

L. Carbajal and D. del-Castillo-Negrete, Submitted to *PPCF* (2017). arXiv:1707.03941.

- Backward Monte-Carlo method [this talk].
 G. Zhang and D. del-Castillo-Negrete, Submitted to *Phys. of Plasmas* (2017).
- RE dynamics with pellet suppression and instabilities (Alfven modes and whistler waves) [Don Spong presentation].

KORC PARTICLE TRACKING MODULES WITH RADIATION DAMPING AND COLLISIONS DEVELOPED AND OPERATIONAL



Trapped/passing orbits in ITER with 3D VMEC with field ripple and TBM perturbations

Trapped/passing orbits in DIII-D with JFIT fields

▲ロト ▲帰 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ の Q ()

Full orbit model

ORBIT EFFECTS ON PITCH ANGLE DYNAMICS Collisionless pitch angle dispersion

- Even without collisions, RE exhibit pitch angle dispersion
- CPD results from full-orbit effects in spatially dependent magnetic fields
- CPD, which is ignored or treated approximately in reduced models, has a significant impact on synchrotron radiation



▲ロト ▲帰 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ の Q ()

ELECTRIC FIELD AND RADIATION DAMPING



Summary of results of simulations of runaway electrons including synchrotron energy losses and a toroidal electric field.

PITCH ANGLE EVOLUTION WITH COLLISIONS

DIII-D like magnetic field.

 $t = 10 \,\mathrm{ms}$

 $E = 1 \text{ V/m}, \ \mathcal{E}_0 = 30 \text{ MeV}, \ \theta_0 = 5^{\circ}, \ 10^{\circ}, \ 15^{\circ}, \ \text{and} \ 20^{\circ}.$



-o-o- No collisions no SR no E - With collisions, no SR, and E

With collisions, SR, and E

FULL ORBIT EFFECTS ON SYNCHROTRON RADIATION

- The total radiation power $P_T = \frac{e^2}{6\pi\epsilon_0 c^3} \gamma^4 v^4 \kappa^2$ depends on the geometry of the orbit through the curvature
- Approximating κ assuming θ and/or B constant (as done in reduced models) can introduce significant errors in P_T



590

FULL ORBIT EFFECTS ON SYNCHROTRON RADIATION

$$P(\lambda) = \frac{4\pi}{\sqrt{3}} c e^2 \left(\frac{mc^2}{\mathcal{E}}\right)^2 \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_{\lambda_c/\lambda}^{\infty} K_{5/3}(\eta) \, d\eta \qquad \lambda_c = \frac{4\pi}{3\kappa} \left(\frac{mc^2}{\mathcal{E}}\right)^2$$

Ignoring orbit dependence of κ can lead to inaccuracies in $P(\lambda)$



< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

 $\mathcal{E} = 40 \text{MeV}$; $\theta_0 = 20^o$ and $\theta_0 = 30^o$.

SYNCHROTRON RADIATION ROUTINELY MEASURED TO INFER RE INFORMATION

This motivates the need of accurate synthetic diagnostics that incorporate full-orbit effects



Visible camera in EAST [Y. Shi et al. Rev. Sci. Instrum. 81, 033506 (2010)].



IR camera in TEXTOR [K. Wongrach et al- Nucl. Fusion **54**, 043011 (2014)].



Visible camera in C-Mod [A. Tinguely et al. APS DPP 2016].



= na<</p>

SYNCHROTRON SPATIAL EMISSION

The modeling of measured 2D synchrotron images requires the computation of the power spectra as function of the observation vector **n**



where

$$\zeta = \frac{2\pi}{3\lambda\kappa} \left(\frac{1}{\gamma^2} + \psi^2\right)^{3/2}, \qquad z = \frac{\gamma\chi}{\sqrt{1 + \gamma^2\psi_1^2}}, \qquad \Omega = \frac{3}{2}\zeta \left(z + \frac{1}{3}z^3\right)^{3/2}$$

KORC SYNCHROTRON EMISSION SYNTHETIC DIAGNOSTIC

The recently developed diagnostic in KORC computes $P(\lambda, \psi, \chi)$ using the full-orbit information of large ensembles of RE incorporating the basic camera geometry

- We calculate the SR spatial distribution on the poloidal plane, as well as the SR as seen by a camera placed at the outer midplane plasma.
- We use two models for the angular distribution of the SR for computing the radiation seen by a camera:
 - I. We use the full angular and spectral distribution $P_R(\lambda,\psi,\chi)$.
 - II. We assume that the radiation intensity is given by $P_R(\lambda)$, and is emitted isotropically within a circular cone (natural opening angle) [K. Wongrach et al. Nucl. Fusion **54**, 043011 (2014)].

For each pixel we measure: $(P_R(\lambda, \psi, \chi), \text{Number of RE})^{^{1,5}}_{R(m)}$



SPATIAL DISTRIBUTION OF RADIATION POWER IN THE POLOIDAL PLANE

Mono-energetic and mono-pitch initial RE distribution function



Total synchrotron radiated power. b) Power integrated over $\lambda \in (10^2, 10^4)$ nm. c) Spatial distribution of RE.

SPATIAL DISTRIBUTION OF RADIATION POWER AS MEASURED BY THE CAMERA

Mono-energetic and mono-pitch initial RE distribution function

E = 30 MeV and $\theta = 5^{0}, 10^{0}, 20^{0}$.



A transition from a crescent shape to an ellipse shape is observed as the pitch angle increases.

SYNCHROTRON SPECTRA AS **MEASURED BY THE** CAMERA

Mono-energetic and mono-pitch initial RE distribution function



Oversimplification of the angular dependence overestimates the spectra and shifts the maximum.

・ロト ・ 日下 ・ 日下 ・ 日下 ・ 今日・

SYNCHROTRON SPECTRA ON **POLOIDAL PLANE** Avalanche type initial RE distribution function

$$f_{RE}(p,\eta) = \frac{\hat{E}p}{2\pi C_z \eta} \exp\left(-\frac{p\eta}{C_z} - \frac{\hat{E}p}{2\eta}(1-\eta^2)\right)$$

Left panels: Orbit-induced pitch angel dispersion modifies the RE pdf. (a) Model distribution; (b) Modified distribution due to full-orbit effects.



Right panels: Not including full-orbit effects underestimates the spectra. (a) $Z_{eff} = 1$, (b) $Z_{eff} = 10$.

SYNCHROTRON SPECTRA AS **MEASURED BY THE** CAMERA

Avalanche type RE distribution function

$$f_{RE}(p,\eta) = \frac{\hat{E}p}{2\pi C_z \eta} \exp\left(-\frac{p\eta}{C_z} - \frac{\hat{E}p}{2\eta}(1-\eta^2)\right)$$

Left panels: Orbit-induced pitch angel dispersion modifies the RE pdf. (a) Model distribution; (b) Modified distribution due to full-orbit effects.



Right panels: Not including full angular dependence of the synchrotron emission and full-orbit effects significantly overestimates the spectra. (a) $Z_{eff} = 1$, (b) $Z_{eff} = 10$.

BACKWARD MONTE CARLO METHOD

To illustrate the method we will use the simple 2-D Fokker-Planck model:

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} = \mathcal{F} + \mathcal{C} + \mathcal{R},$$

Electric field acceleration:

$$\mathcal{F} = -E\left[\frac{\xi}{p^2}\frac{\partial}{\partial p}\left(p^2f\right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi}\left(\frac{1-\xi^2}{p}f\right)\right]$$

Collisions operator:

$$C = \frac{1}{p^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial p} \left[\left(1 + p^2 \right) f \right] + \frac{\nu_c}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \left[\left(1 - \xi^2 \right) \frac{\partial f}{\partial \xi} \right]$$

with $\nu_c = (Z+1)\sqrt{1+p^2}/p^3$.

Synchrotron radiation reaction force:

$$\mathcal{R} = \frac{1}{\tau} \left\{ \frac{1}{p^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial p} \left[p^3 \gamma \left(1 - \xi^2 \right) f \right] - \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \left[\frac{1}{\gamma} \xi \left(1 - \xi^2 \right) f \right] \right\} .$$

STOCHASTIC DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION MODEL

 $\theta = pitch angle, \xi = \cos \theta$ p = magnitud of relativistic momentum.

$$dp_t = b_1(p_t, \xi_t) dt,$$

 $d\xi_t = b_2(p_t, \xi_t) dt + \sigma(p_t, \xi_t) dW_t,$

where

$$b_{1} = E\xi - \frac{\gamma p}{\tau} (1 - \xi^{2}) - \frac{1 + p^{2}}{p^{2}},$$

$$b_{2} = \frac{E(1 - \xi^{2})}{p} + \frac{\xi(1 - \xi^{2})}{\tau \gamma} - \xi \nu_{c}$$

$$\sigma = \sqrt{\nu_{c}(1 - \xi^{2})}, \qquad \tau = \tau_{r}/\tau_{c}$$

 $\tau_c = m_e c/(E_c e)$ and $\tau_r = 6\pi\epsilon_0 m_e^3 c^3/(e^4 B^2)$. W_t is the standard Wiener process (Brownian motion) according to which the increments dW_t are drawn from a Gaussian distribution with zero mean and variance equal to dt.

PROBLEM FORMULATION

- What is the probability, P_{RE}, that an electron with coordinates (p, ξ) will runaway at, or before, a prescribed time?
- More formally: for (t, p, ξ) ∈ [0, T] × [p_{min}, p_{*}] × [-1, 1], where p_{min} is a lower momentum boundary, P_{RE}(t, p, ξ), is defined as the probability that an electron located at (p, ξ) at t₀ = 0 will acquire a momentum p_{*} on, or before, t > 0.

Given $f(t, p_t, \xi_t | p, \xi)$,

$$P_{\rm RE} = \mathbb{E}[\chi(p_t, \xi_t) \,|\, p_0 = p, \xi_0 = \xi] = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \chi(p_t, \xi_t) f(t, p_t, \xi_t \,|\, p, \xi) \, dp_t \, d\xi_t$$

where

$$\chi(p_t,\xi_t) = egin{cases} 1, & ext{if} \ p_t \geq p_*, \ 0, & ext{otherwise}, \end{cases}$$

indicates if a realization (p_t, ξ_t) of the SDEs is a runaway path.

DIRECT AND ADJOINT METHOD TO COMPUTE $P_{\rm RE}$

- ▶ Direct, "brute-force", MC method: simulate a very large number of paths, (p_t, ξ_t) , by solving the SDEs with initial condition $(p_0, \xi_0) = (p, \xi)$, and use the paths to approximate the expectation.
- Simple but very inefficient due to the slow convergence of the MC sampling, and the need to generate new set of paths at each point in phase space.
- Adjoint method [Liu, et al, 2016, 2017] get
 P = P_{RE}(T − t, p, ξ) for (t, p, ξ) ∈ [0, T] × [p_{min}, p_{*}] × [−1, 1] by solving the terminal value problem

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial P}{\partial t} + b_1 \frac{\partial P}{\partial p} + b_2 \frac{\partial P}{\partial \xi} + \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \frac{\partial^2 P}{\partial \xi^2} = 0, \\ P(T, p, \xi) = \chi(p, \xi), \end{cases}$$

BACKWARD MONTE CARLO (BMC) METHOD

The key idea of the BMC method is to compute $P(t, p, \xi)$ directly from the Feynman-Kac formula giving the probability that a particle at (p, ξ) at time t, will runaway at a time $\leq T$

$$P(t, p, \xi) = \mathbb{E}[\chi(p_T, \xi_T) | p_t = p, \xi_t = \xi]$$

where $\chi(p_T, \xi_T) = P(T, p_T, \xi_T)$.

Introduce a uniform partition of the time interval [0, T],

$$\mathcal{T} = \{0 = t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_N = T\},\$$

• Within the time interval $[t_n, t_{n+1}]$,

$$P(t_n, p, \xi) = \mathbb{E}\left[P(t_{n+1}, p_{t_{n+1}}, \xi_{t_{n+1}}) \mid p_{t_n} = p, \xi_{t_n} = \xi\right].$$

• For small $\Delta t = t_{n+1} - t_n$

$$p_{t_{n+1}} = p_{t_n} + b_1(p_{t_n}, \xi) \Delta t$$

$$\xi_{t_{n+1}} = \xi_{t_n} + b_2(p_{t_n}, \xi_{t_n}) \Delta t + \sigma(p_{t_n}, \xi_{t_n}) \Delta W,$$

BACKWARD MONTE CARLO (BMC) METHOD

Within (t_n, t_{n+1}) , the expectation can be approximated as

$$P(t_n, p, \xi) \approx \int_{\mathbb{R}} P(t_{n+1}, p + b_1 \Delta t, \xi + b_2 \Delta t + \sigma x) \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{2} \frac{x^2}{\Delta t}}}{\sqrt{2\pi \Delta t}} dx,$$

That is, the computation of $P(t_n, p, \xi)$ knowing $P(t_{n+1}, p, \xi)$ is reduced to the evaluation of an integral that can be efficiently computed using the Gauss-Hermite quadrature rule

$$P(t_n, p, \xi) \approx \sum_{m=1}^{M} w_m P(t_{n+1}, p^{\text{GH}}, \xi_m^{\text{GH}}), \qquad (1)$$

~

where M = number of quadrature points, $w_m =$ weights,

$$\xi_m^{\rm GH} = \xi + b_2(p,\xi)\Delta t + \sigma(p,\xi)\sqrt{2\Delta t} q_m,$$

and $\{q_m\}_{m=1}^M$ is the standard Gauss-Hermite abscissa.

COMPARISON BETWEEN BMC AND DIRECT MC Pitch angle $\theta = 10^{\circ}$ and T = 1.6.



◆□> ◆□> ◆三> ◆三> ・三 ・ のへで

SCALING OF BMC METHOD RELATIVE ERROR $(p, \theta) = (0.7, 10^{\circ}), (0.7, 45^{\circ}), (0.7, 80^{\circ})$ and T = 1.6.



▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲圖▶ ▲圖▶ ▲圖 • のへで

TIME EVOLUTION OF PROBABILITY OF RUNAWAY PRE



Radiation reaction force $\sim 1/\tau$, collisions $\sim Z_{L}$ acceleration $\simeq E_{\perp}$

STEADY STATE (TIME ASYMPTOTIC) PROBABILITY OF RUNAWAY



"----" $P_{\rm RE} = 0.9$, "---" $P_{\rm RE} = 0.5$, and "—" 0-D particle model. Radiation reaction force $\sim 1/\tau$, collisions $\sim Z_{\rm c}$ acceleration $\simeq E_{\rm c}$

EXPECTED RUNAWAY TIME



◆□ > ◆□ > ◆豆 > ◆豆 > ̄豆 = のへ⊙

EXPECTED LOSS TIME



▲ロト ▲圖ト ▲画ト ▲画ト 三直 - のへで

DEPENDENCE OF RESULTS ON RUNAWAY BOUNDARY p^*



(a) & (c) Asymptotic $P_{\rm RE}$ for $p_* = 6$ and $p_* = 2$ (b) & (d) Expected runaway time for $p_* = 6$ and $p_* = 2$ (e) & (f) Expected loss time for $p_* = 6$ and $p_* = 2$ (g) Mean and 90% confidence interval of loss time for $\theta = 10^{\circ}$

PRODUCTION RATE

$$\gamma = \frac{N_{\rm RE}(t)}{N} = \int_0^\infty dp \int_{-1}^1 d\xi f(p,\xi) P_{\rm RE}(t,p,\xi) d\xi$$

For a Maxwellian distribution

$$\gamma(t) = rac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}\delta^3} \int_0^{p_*} dp \, e^{-(p/\delta)^2} p^2 \int_{-1}^1 d\xi \, P_{
m RE}(t,p,\xi) \, + \, \gamma_\infty \, ,$$



Radiation reaction force $\sim 1/\tau$, collisions $\sim Z_{\star}$ acceleration $\simeq E_{\star}$

- The serious threat posed by disruptions in general, and runaway electrons in particular, to ITER calls for the development of advanced modeling and simulation efforts.
- Reduced models need to be complemented by detailed quantitative modeling that do not rely on restrictive assumptions.
- Of particular interest is the incorporation of space-dependent geometric effect.
- The ORNL program target these efforts, focussing on the development of KORC (Kinetic Orbit Runaway electrons Code), and backward Monte-Carlo methods.

- KORC is designed as a modular code, with each module adding different physics and diagnostics.
- Current modules include full-orbit relativistic integrator for RE in the presence of general 3-D electric and magnetic (integrable or chaotic) fields with radiation damping and collisions.
- In parallel to the full-orbit module, we have also developed a guiding center relativistic integrator for RE (KORC-GC).

 Most recently we have added a synchrotron synthetic diagnostic.

Orbit effects on synchrotron radiation (SR):

- Collisionless (orbit-induced) pitch angle scattering has a direct effect on the RE distribution function and thus on SR.
- Orbit-averaged 2-D phase space models underestimate SR power and shift the spectra.

SR synthetic diagnostic:

- Incorporates full-orbit information, camera geometry, and full-angular dependence of radiation
- SR distribution on "camera plane" dependent on angular distribution of radiation and not trivially related to distribution on poloidal plane.
- Oversimplification of the angular distribution of SR overestimates the intensity of the radiation as measured by a camera.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Backward Monte Carlo Method:

- Based on the direct solution of time-discretized Feynman-Kac formula using Gauss-Hermite quadrature methods.
- Accurate, efficient, and unconditional stable method.
- Used to compute the time-dependent probability of runaway, expected runaway time, expected loss time, and production rate.
- Extension to high-dimensional cases (i.e., beyond 2-D phase space) not a significant challenge exploiting sparse quadrature rules.

Modeling and simulation of impurity-based RE suppression: [Don Spong presentation].